

ANNEX B: Supplementary Tables

Table B1: Reported antisemitic incidents and their progress through to prosecution outcome


Police Force	Antisemitic incidents reported (number)	Incidents recorded as crimes (number) (a)	Recorded crimes with no identified suspect (number)	Recorded crimes resulting in No Further Action (number)	Police disposals (number)	Recorded crimes resulting in charge (number) (a)	Cases went forward to prosecution (number) (b)	Prosecution Outcomes (number) (c)				
Metropolitan Police Service	243	199	122	CPS NFA - evidential	2	23	Cases with a racially or religiously aggravated offence	Guilty	13 (d)			
				Victim did not wish to support a prosecution	13			Taken into consideration	1	Prosecution yet to be completed	2	
				Offender too mentally disturbed	2			Cases without a racially or religiously aggravated offence	4	Guilty	3 (e)	
				Police NFA - not enough evidence following investigation	6							
				No useful purpose in proceeding	3							
Total	26	Total	17	Total	19	Total	19					
Greater Manchester Police	127	91	78	Victim did not wish to support a prosecution	5	8	Cases with a racially or religiously aggravated offence	6	Guilty	4 (f)		
				Total	5			Total	0	Cases without a racially or religiously aggravated offence	1	Guilty
							Total					
				Total (number)	370			290	200	31	17	31

Notes:

- The total number of incidents recorded as crimes does not equate to the totals for subsequent outcomes (that is where there was no identified suspect; NFA, police disposals or cases charged). This is due to differences in the units of analysis in the data collected from the MPS. See Methods (Annex A) for more information.
- One case may involve more than one victim. See Methods (Annex A) for more information.
- Where a case has multiple defendants, the prosecution outcome has been recorded as guilty if at least one defendant was found guilty.
- One of these cases involved two defendants.
- Two of these cases involved more than one defendant.
- One of these cases involved three defendants.

Source: Metropolitan Police Service and Greater Manchester Police, 2006/07.

Figure B1: Reported antisemitic incidents tracked to prosecution outcome



Notes:

a. See Note a: Table B1.

b. MPS data show that the CPS advised NFA in two cases. It was not possible to determine whether charging advice was provided in the remaining cases, as this information was not available in the GMP data and is not recorded on CMS.

c. Information on whether the police or the CPS made the decision to charge was incomplete.

d. Only those cases that went forward to prosecution *with* a racially or religiously aggravated offence are shown. Also see Note b: Table B1.

e. Outcomes only relate to cases that went forward to prosecution *with* a racially or religiously aggravated offence. See note d above.


Source: Metropolitan Police Service and Greater Manchester Police, 2006/07.

Table B2: Recorded crimes by category, showing number and percentage

Crime categories	Metropolitan Police Service		Greater Manchester Police	
	(number)	(%)	(number)	(%)
Violence Against the Person	142	71%	73	80%
Criminal Damage	36	18%	15	17%
Robbery	7	4%	1	1%
Sexual offences	1	1%	0	n/a
Theft	2	1%	2	2%
Other notifiable offences	11	6%	0	n/a
Total	199	100%	91	100%

Note: Percentages may not add up to 100 due to rounding.
 Source: Metropolitan Police Service and Greater Manchester Police, 2006/07.

Figure B2: Recorded crimes where no suspect was identified, by category (n = 122)



Note: Percentages may not add up to 100 due to rounding.
 Source: Metropolitan Police Service, 2006/07.

Table B3: Prosecution outcomes for the racially or religiously aggravated cases

Police Force	Act and section used to prosecute those cases with a racially or religiously aggravated offence(a)	Plea	Prosecution Outcome	Reason for unsuccessful outcome
Metropolitan Police Service	s.29 (1)(c) Crime and Disorder Act 1998	G	G (b)	
	s.29 (1)(c) Crime and Disorder Act 1998	Not entered	Prosecution yet to be completed	
	s.30 (1) (c) Crime and Disorder Act 1998	G	G	
	s.30 (1) (c) Crime and Disorder Act 1998	G	G	
	s.31 (1) (a) Crime and Disorder Act 1998	G	G	
	s.31 (1) (b) Crime and Disorder Act 1998	Not entered	Prosecution yet to be completed	
	s.31 (1) (b) Crime and Disorder Act 1998	NG	G	
	s.31 (1) (c) Crime and Disorder Act 1998	G	G	
	s.31 (1) (c) Crime and Disorder Act 1998	G	G	
	s.31 (1) (c) Crime and Disorder Act 1998	G	G	
	s.31 (1) (c) Crime and Disorder Act 1998	G	G	
	s.32 (1) (a) Crime and Disorder Act 1998	G	G	
	s.32 (1) (a) Crime and Disorder Act 1998	NG	G	
	s.32 (1) (a) Crime and Disorder Act 1998	G	G	
	s.3 (1) Football Offences Act 1991	G	G	
Greater Manchester Police	s.29 (1)(b) Crime and Disorder Act 1998	G	G (c)	
	s.31 (1)(a) Crime and Disorder Act 1998	G	G	
	s.31 (1)(a) Crime and Disorder Act 1998	NG	NG	Inconsistency of witnesses' testimony
	s.31 (1)(b) Crime and Disorder Act 1998	G	G	
	s.31 (1)(b) Crime and Disorder Act 1998	G	G	
	s.31 (1)(c) Crime and Disorder Act 1998	NG	No evidence offered	Pleaded guilty to more serious offence

Notes:

a. Where a case has multiple defendants, the prosecution outcome has been recorded as guilty if at least one defendant was found guilty.

b. This case related to two defendants.

c. This case related to three defendants.

Source: Metropolitan Police Service and Greater Manchester Police, 2006/07.